Winchester City Council Air Quality Supplementary Planning Document: Summary report

This report was created on Tuesday 13 April 2021 at 11:48 and includes 21 responses.

The consultation ran from 15/02/2021 to 12/04/2021.

Contents

First name:	2
First name:	2
Last name:	2
Last name:	2
Are you responding as a member of the public or as a representative of an organisation?	2
Are you responding as a member of the public or as a representative of an organisation?	2
Postcode:	2
Postcode:	2
Email address:	2
Email address:	2
Organisation name:	2
Organisation name:	2
Address of organisation:	2
Address of organisation:	2
Postcode of organisation:	2
Postcode of organisation:	2
Job title at organisation (if applicable):	2
Job title at organisation:	2
Policy 1 introduces the concept and requirements for both an air quality assessment for larger specified developments and an air	3
quality statement for smaller specified developments. Do you support this approach?	
Policy 1 introduces the concept and requirements for both an air quality assessment for larger specified developments and	3
an air quality statement for smaller specified developments. Do you support this approach?	
Are there any trigger levels in table 2 you consider inappropriate or missing?	3
Policy 2 specifies specific receptor sites for consideration, please provide any comment you have regarding these:	3
Policy 2 specifies specific receptor sites for consideration, please provide any comment you have regarding these	3
Policy 3 details the requirements for inclusion within an air quality statement, please provide any comments you have regarding these:	3
Policy 3 details the requirements for inclusion within an air quality statement, please provide any comments you have regarding these.	3
Policy 4 specifies the requirements for an air quality assessment, please provide any comment on the guidance provided regarding	g 3
this requirement:	-
Policy 4 specifies the requirements for an air quality assessment. Please provide any comment on the guidance provided regarding this requirement.	3
Policy 5 details the expected mitigation require, please provide any comments you have regarding this approach and the guidance	3
provided:	-
Policy 5 details the expected mitigation required. Please provide any comments you have regarding this approach and the	3
guidance provided.	_
The policies within the draft air quality SPD only apply to the area marked on the map within Appendix H. Do you consider this is the	ie3
appropriate area to cover?	_
The policies within the draft air quality SPD only apply to the area marked on the map within Appendix H. Do you consider	3
this is the appropriate area to cover?	
If you answered no to the above question, what do you consider would be the appropriate area to cover and why?	4
Do you support the adoption of this draft air quality supplementary planning document (SPD) as a way to improve the air quality	4
within the Winchester City area?	
Do you support the adoption of this draft air quality supplementary planning document (SPD) as a way to improve the air	4
quality within the Winchester City area?	
If you answered no to the above question, please explain why?	4
We would welcome any additional comments you have regarding the draft air quality supplementary planning document:	4
We would welcome any additional comments you have regarding this proposed air quality SPD that you consider have not been covered by answering the above questions.	4

First name:

First name:

There were 21 responses to this part of the question.

Last name:

Last name:

There were 21 responses to this part of the question.

Are you responding as a member of the public or as a representative of an organisation?

Are you responding as a member of the public or as a representative of an organisation?

Member of the public				
Representative of an organisation				
Not Answered				
	0		1	5
Option		Total	Percent	

Option	TOLAI	Fercent
Member of the public	15	71.43%
Representative of an organisation	6	28.57%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Postcode:

Postcode:

There were 14 responses to this part of the question.

Email address:

Email address:

There were 14 responses to this part of the question.

Organisation name:

Organisation name:

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

Address of organisation:

Address of organisation:

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

Postcode of organisation:

Postcode of organisation:

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

Job title at organisation (if applicable):

Job title at organisation:

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

Policy 1 introduces the concept and requirements for both an air guality assessment for larger specified developments and an air quality statement for smaller specified developments. Do you support this approach?

Policy 1 introduces the concept and requirements for both an air quality assessment for larger specified developments and an air quality statement for smaller specified developments. Do you support this approach?

Yes No Not Answered 17 0 Total Option Percent 80.95% Yes 17 No 4 19.05% 0 0.00%

Not Answered

Are there any trigger levels in table 2 you consider inappropriate or missing?

There were **12** responses to this part of the question.

Policy 2 specifies specific receptor sites for consideration, please provide any comment you have regarding these:

Policy 2 specifies specific receptor sites for consideration, please provide any comment you have regarding these

There were 10 responses to this part of the question.

Policy 3 details the requirements for inclusion within an air quality statement, please provide any comments you have regarding these:

Policy 3 details the requirements for inclusion within an air quality statement, please provide any comments you have regarding these.

There were 13 responses to this part of the question.

Policy 4 specifies the requirements for an air quality assessment, please provide any comment on the guidance provided regarding this requirement:

Policy 4 specifies the requirements for an air quality assessment. Please provide any comment on the guidance provided regarding this requirement.

There were 10 responses to this part of the question.


Policy 5 details the expected mitigation require, please provide any comments you have regarding this approach and the guidance provided:

Policy 5 details the expected mitigation required. Please provide any comments you have regarding this approach and the guidance provided.

There were 11 responses to this part of the question.

The policies within the draft air quality SPD only apply to the area marked on the map within Appendix H. Do you consider this is the appropriate area to cover?

The policies within the draft air quality SPD only apply to the area marked on the map within Appendix H. Do you consider this is the appropriate area to cover?




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	11	52.38%
No	7	33.33%
Not Answered	3	14.29%

If you answered no to the above question, what do you consider would be the appropriate area to cover and why?

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

Do you support the adoption of this draft air quality supplementary planning document (SPD) as a way to improve the air quality within the Winchester City area?

Do you support the adoption of this draft air quality supplementary planning document (SPD) as a way to improve the air quality within the Winchester City area?



If you answered no to the above question, please explain why?

There were 10 responses to this part of the question.

We would welcome any additional comments you have regarding the draft air quality supplementary planning document:

We would welcome any additional comments you have regarding this proposed air quality SPD that you consider have not been covered by answering the above questions.

There were **10** responses to this part of the question.